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Formulated; while some of these may have been beyond the scope of the paper, the introduction of new and novel names was necessary to expand the classification of NNs as a whole. Traditional names such as fully connected, convolutional, and recurrent were insufficient to cover the wide range of NNs currently used. The introduction of new names was also necessary to reflect the evolution of NNs and their applications over time. The discussion of NNs in the context of machine learning and artificial intelligence was essential to understand their impact on various fields.
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Based on our experience, it is clear that the impact of each factor on the performance of the network (N) is significant. In our experiment, we observed that the number of hidden layers in the network significantly affects its performance. A network with more hidden layers tends to perform better than one with fewer layers. However, it is important to note that increasing the number of hidden layers also increases the computational complexity of the network.

Based on this observation, we made modifications to the layers of the network. The design of the network was performed by the two authors (N = 2). We began with a simple 2-layer network, which we called the "basic" network. This network consisted of an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer. The input layer received the input data, the hidden layer performed the computations, and the output layer produced the final output.

The basic network was then modified by adding one or more hidden layers. The number of hidden layers was increased gradually, and the performance of the network was monitored at each step. The performance of the network was measured using the accuracy of the predictions made by the network. The accuracy was calculated as the percentage of correct predictions made by the network.

The study was performed using the CLAWS dataset, which contains a large number of labeled examples. The dataset was divided into two parts: a training set and a test set. The training set was used to train the network, while the test set was used to evaluate its performance. The performance of the network was evaluated using the accuracy of the predictions made by the network.

The results of the study showed that increasing the number of hidden layers significantly improved the performance of the network. The network with the highest number of hidden layers achieved an accuracy of 95.2%. This result was obtained by using a network with 10 hidden layers.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates the importance of the number of hidden layers in the performance of the network. The results also suggest that a larger number of hidden layers is generally associated with better performance. However, it is important to note that increasing the number of hidden layers also increases the computational complexity of the network. Therefore, it is important to struck a balance between performance and computational complexity.

Table 1: Summary of Experiment Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Hidden Layers</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>68.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-layer</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>85.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-layer</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-layer</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>93.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-layer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-layer</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The accuracy is calculated as the percentage of correct predictions made by the network.
The semantic categories and the instruction were ready to be used on a larger scale. The post hoc analysis showed that the model of the NNS could be used with a single random sampling of the data set. The model of the NNS was used in two separate studies, showing that the workers' performance on the task was not affected by the model of the NNS. The results of the model study were encouraging, showing that the workers could be trained on a larger scale.

In the first pilot study, we used the modified version of the sentence used in the classification instrument.

In the second pilot study, we used the modified version of the sentence used in the classification instrument.
These semantic relationships can be illustrated in Table 1.2, where examples of semantic categories are displayed. The table shows how these categories relate to each other and how they form the basis for a comprehensive understanding of the non-NNS interactions observed in the corpus.

### Results and Discussion

Semantic relationships were identified through the analysis of non-NNS interactions. The results indicated that these interactions were not random but followed a pattern. For example, the interaction of non-NNS in conversation was found to be related to the non-NNS's role in the conversation. This pattern was further explored and confirmed through the analysis of additional data.

### Quantitative Analysis

The data was analyzed using statistical methods to determine the significance of the observed patterns. The results showed that the interaction of non-NNS was significantly higher in certain situations, such as when the non-NNS was in a leadership role. This finding has important implications for the development of strategies to improve non-NNS interactions in the classroom.

*Table 1.2: Semantic Categories of NNS, with Representative Sentences and Examples*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Example Sentence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Condition</td>
<td>The non-NNS asked the teacher for help.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>The non-NNS sat in the front of the class.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>The non-NNS was the first to speak.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Further Research

While the current study provides valuable insights into the interactions of non-NNS, further research is needed to explore the effects of different factors on these interactions. This could be achieved through a longitudinal study, which would allow for a more detailed analysis of the development of non-NNS interactions over time.
Table 7.3: Classification Agreement Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall agreement is 0.77.

Classification Agreement

Shifting Semantics of Non-Noun Segments
3. Interaction + Regression
2. Interaction + Inclusion
1. Inclusion + Regression

Inclusion refers to the process of evaluating the impact of variables that might not be directly related to the outcome of interest. This involves analyzing the relationship between the variables of interest and the overall outcome, in order to identify potential confounding factors. Inclusion helps in understanding the potential impact of these variables on the outcome, allowing for more accurate conclusions to be drawn from the data.

Figure 7.2: NN Frequency by Agreement Correlation (Across Time Periods)

The figure shows the frequency of NN agreements across different time periods, with the x-axis representing time periods and the y-axis representing the frequency of agreement. The bars represent the frequency of agreement for each time period, with darker bars indicating higher frequencies of agreement.

Table 7.2: Number of Occurrences of NN Sequences

The table provides a breakdown of the number of occurrences of different NN sequences, with the first column indicating the sequence and the subsequent columns showing the frequency of occurrence for each time period.
In the foreground, we observe two patterns. In one, the semantic categories in the foreground have increased, while in the background, the semantic categories have decreased. This suggests that the foreground is more relevant and the background is less relevant.

The second pattern, found in the foreground, shows a decrease in the number of semantic categories, indicating a decrease in relevance. This pattern is consistent with the foreground increasing in relevance, as seen in the first pattern.
Conclusion

The final categorization involves four categories that have shown significant changes over time. The first category includes those who experienced increased NNS proficiency, while the second category includes those who experienced decreased NNS proficiency. The third category includes those who maintained a constant level of proficiency, and the fourth category includes those who did not change their level of proficiency.

Shifting Semantics of Noun+Noun Phrases

Note: The text contains a diagram labeled "Figure 7: Positional Changes in the Semantic Categories Within Pattern 1." The diagram appears to show positional changes in a specific pattern over time, but the details of the graph cannot be accurately transcribed due to the image quality.
Shifting Semantics of Non-Noun Sequences
Working papers on nongrowing nontisious defense mechanisms (pp. 7-18). Paper Association for